INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine file No : ORI/IRON/SNG/MCDR-5/BBS

Mine code : 300RI13016

(i) Name of the Inspecting : U11) DAYANAND UPADHYAY Officer and ID No.
(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines
(iii) Accompaning mine Official with Designation
Shri A. Sen, AVP (Geology)

- (iv) Date of Inspection : 28/12/2019
- (v) Prev.inspection date : 17/02/2019

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : ORAGHAT |
|----|------------|-----------------------|---|
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : IBM/4585/2011 |
| | (C) | Category | : A Mechanised |
| | (d) (e) | | : Opencast |
| | | State | : ORISSA |
| | | District | : SUNDARGARH |
| | | Village | : ORGHAT |
| | | Taluka | : BONAI |
| | | Post office | : KOIRA |
| | | Pin Code | : |
| | | FAX No. | : 06767-275161 |
| | | E-mail | : rungtas@rungtamines.com |
| | | Phone | : 06767-275221, 275481 |
| | (f) | Police Station | : Koira |
| | (g) | First opening date | : 10/12/1986 |
| | (h) | Weekly day of rest | : SAT |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : VILL: ORAGHAT AND SANINDPUR PO: KOIRA PIN: 770048 |
| 3. | (a) | Lease Number | : ORI0146 |
| | (b) | Lease area | : 82.92 |
| | (C) | Period of lease | : 20 |
| | (d) | Date of Expiry | : 09/12/2002 |
| 4. | Mine | ral worked | : IRON ORE Main |

| 5. Name and Address of the Lessee : | | |
|---|--|--|
| Owner : | M D RUSTAGI, NOMINATED OWNER RUNGTA OFFICE,MAIN ROAD, BARBIL AT/PO- BARBIL,DIST- KEONJHAR SUNDARGARH ORISSA Phone: FAX : | |
| Agent : | A. S. Mahapatra Rungta office, Main Road Barbil Disr-Keonjhar KEONJHAR ORISSA Phone: 06767-276441 FAX : 06767-275481 | |
| Mining Engineer | | |
| Name : | AMITESH CHARAN, Full Time | |
| Qualification : | | |
| Appointment/ : Termination date | | |
| Geologist | | |
| Name : | H Biswal,Full Time | |
| Qualification : | M.Sc Geology | |
| Appointment/ : Termination date | 01/11/2015 | |
| Manager | | |
| Name : | A K CHOUDHURY | |
| Qualification : | B E MINING | |
| Appointment/ : | 25/05/2008 | |
| Termination date | | |
| 6. Date of approval of Min Plan/Scheme of Mining | <pre>ing : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.approved Mining Scheme Modif.approved Mining Scheme Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 MP modif under MCR 1960 Modif.approved Mining Scheme Modif.of approved Mining Plan MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016</pre> | 05/10/2001 15/02/2008 17/08/2009 28/05/2010 20/07/2012 20/07/2012 08/07/2015 02/06/2016 28/12/2016 10/10/2017 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| la | Backlog of previous year | 14 nos. of bore holes for the years 2018-19. | Total 10 nos of bore holes have been drilled in 2018-19 and 04 nos. of bore holes drilled in 2019-20 of total meterage 545m. | Proposed 14 nos. of bore holes have been drilled by lessee. |
| lb | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Within the G1/G2 level of already explored area. | Total lease area of 82.961ha has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. | Total lease area is explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. About 72.31 ha area is explored under G1 level and 10.651 ha area is under G2 level. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not specified. | Exploration agency involved in drilling was Geotech India pvt ltd and expenditure incurred during drilling was Rs 17.54 lakhs. | G1/G2 level of |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Within the G1/G2 level of already explored area | Total lease area of 82.961ha has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. | Total lease area is explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. About 72.31 ha area is explored under G1 level and 10.651 ha area is under G2 level. |
| le | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | As per approved Review of mining plan dated 10.10.2017, Reserve:- 35.18 million tonne and Resources 43.74 million tonne. | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2019, Reserve:- 29.346 million tonne and Resources 43.74 million tonne. | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2019, Reserve:- 29.346 million tonne and Resources 43.74 million tonne. |

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

14 nos. of bore holes 2018-19.

Total 10 nos of bore holes have been drilled for the years in 2018-19 and 04 nos. of bore holes drilled in exploration. About 2019-20 of total meterage 545m.

Total lease area is explored under G1/G2 level of 72.31 ha area is explored under G1 level and 10.651 ha area is under G2 level.

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | Kusum pit, E160-E930 and S160-N480 Chattan Pit- E1150-E1320 and S180-N50 Kendu Pit, E1480-E1660 and S150-N100 | The development is concentrated in Kusum pit and Kendu Pit within the approved proposal. | The Chattan Pit is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Separate benches in OB and ore | Separate benches in OB and ore of 9m height have been maintained. | Separate benches in OB and ore of 9m height have been maintained. |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.0.15 T/cu.m | 1:0.04 T/cu.m. | The OB generation is less as Chattan Pit is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation. |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | Not proposed. | Not applicable. | There is no top soil generation. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 517810 Cum | 143959 Cum | The OB generation is less as Chattan Pit is not developed as proposed. |

| 2f | General remarks | Development | The development is | The Chattan Pit is |
|----|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | of inspecting | proposed in | concentrated in Kusum | not developed as |
| | officers on | Kusum pit, | pit and Kendu Pit within | proposed. The |
| | development of | Chattan Pit | the approved proposal. | deviation is |
| | pit w.r.t. type | and Kendu Pit, | | pointed out to |
| | of deposit etc | E1480-E1660 | | lessee through |
| | | and S150-N100 | | violation letter. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Three pits | Working is concentrated in Kusum pit and Kendu Pit within the approved proposal. | The Chattan Pit is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 3.5 MillionT insitu and 1.5 MillionT from dump re- handling. | ROM production reported in 2018-19 is 3.49 MillionT. | Proposed dump re- handling is not carried out due to poor demand of low grade ore. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 3.15 MillionT | Total ROM production 3.49 MillionT is saleable after blending with low grade ore. | The Chattan Pit having low grade ore is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 0.35 MillionT | Mineral production reported in 2018-19 is Nil. | The Chattan Pit having low grade ore is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. |

Grade of mineral +45% Fe to 55% Mineral production The Chattan Pit 3e rejects Fe reported in 2018-19 is having low grade generation and Nil. ore is not threshold value developed as proposed. The declared. deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. 3f Quantity of sub 0.35 MillionT Mineral production The Chattan Pit grade mineral reported in 2018-19 is having low grade Nil. ore is not generation. developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. 3q Grade of sub +45% Fe to 55% Mineral production The Chattan Pit grade mineral Fe reported in 2018-19 is having low grade generation Nil. ore is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. 3h Manual / Mechanised Mechanised Mechanised method Mechanised is adopted. method adopted for segregating from ROM 3i Any analysis or Not proposed Only crushing and The lessee has beneficiation in 2018-19. screening is being proposed 2x250TPH study proposed carried out at mines beneficiation and carried out plant which will site. be commissioned for sub grade mineral and from 2019-20. rejects. 3j Provision of DTH drilling Drilling and blasting is Deep holes drilling and carried out with DTH drilling and of 110mm dia. blasting in Blast hole drilling of 110mm dia. blasting is mineral benches with Blast hole with practiced to emulsion/slurr emulsion/slurry winning out the y exlposive as exlposive as coloumn ore and OB. coloumn charge charge and booster and booster alongwith delay alongwith detonator. delay detonator.

| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Mobile Crushing & Screening-14, DTH Drill Machine-2, Hydraulic Excavator 2.5/1.1/2.1 cu.m-16, Dumper 35T-61, Loader 1.7 cu.m -14, Rock breaker-6, Dozer-02. | Machineries used at mine site are Mobile Crushing & Screening-13, DTH Drill Machine-1, Hydraulic Excavator 2.5/1.1/2.1 cu.m-15, Dumper 35T-25, Loader 1.7 cu.m -14, Rock breaker-6, Dozer-02. | Excavator, Loader and Dumper are equipped to winning out the mineral. |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Bench height- 9m | Bench height is suitably matched with the excavator. | Bench height is suitably matched with the excavator. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 57.919ha proposed during approved plan period. | About 52.419 ha area has been covered under excavation pits. | Total area covered under excavation is within the approved proposal. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.0.15 T/cu.m | 1:0.04 T/cu.m | The OB generation is less as Chattan Pit is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | different heads are | Area covered under different heads are Pit-56.312 ha, waste dump-9.53ha, Infrastructure & roads- 2.99 ha, mineral storage-2.04 ha etc. | Area covered under different heads are Pit-56.312 ha, waste dump- 9.53ha, Infrastructure & roads-2.99 ha, mineral storage- 2.04 ha etc. |

| Зр | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | million T 2015-16:- 5.0 million T | 2014-15:- 2100646.30T 2015-16:- 1559386.34T 2016-17:- 2084891.99T 2017-18:- 3007794.335T 2018-19:-3499981.630T | ROM Production is less due to want of environmental clearance. |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | is proposed in | ROM production is concentrated in two pita i.e Kusum pit and Kendu Pit within the approved proposal. | The Chattan Pit is not developed as proposed. The deviation is pointed out to lessee through violation letter. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | OB/waste proposed to back-fill in Kendu Pit. Mineral reject SG-2, S220 to S350 & E1315 to E1420 and SG-3, E200 to S 270 & E1230 to 1295. No top soil generation proposed. | There are 03 nos. of OB dump and 02 nos. of mineral reject dumps exist in the lease area. | The waste generated during 2018-19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | OB/waste proposed to back-fill in Kendu Pit. Mineral reject SG-2, S220 to S350 & E1315 to E1420 and SG-3, E200 to S 270 & E1230 to 1295. No top soil generation proposed. | OB/waste has backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north- eastern side of lease area. There is no generation mineral reject reported in 2018- 19. | The OB/waste generated during mining has backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north-eastern side of lease area. |

| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Kendu and 02 nos. of | OB/waste has backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north- eastern side of lease area. There is no generation mineral reject reported in 2018- 19. | There are 03 nos. of OB dump and 02 nos. of mineral reject dumps exist in the lease area. The OB dumps lying within UPL has been proposed to re-handled. |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | OB/waste proposed to back-fill in Kendu Pit at the north- eastern side of lease area. Mineral reject SG-2, S220 to S350 & E1315 to E1420 and SG-3, E200 to S 270 E1230 to 1295. | | There are 03 nos. of OB dump and 02 nos. of mineral reject dumps exist in the lease area. The 02 nos. OB dumps lying within UPL has been proposed to re- handle. |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | backfill | OB/waste has backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north- eastern side of lease area. There is no generation mineral reject reported in 2018- 19. | There are 03 nos. of OB dump and 02 nos. of mineral reject dumps exist in the lease area. |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | One dead dump | There is one dead dump. | The dead dump is stabilized by plantation. |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | One dead dump | There is one dead dump which is stabilized by plantation. | The dead dump is stabilized by plantation. |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | 230 Retaining wall and 230m garland drain along backfill area. | Proposed Retaining wall and garland drain have not been constructed. | Deviation of Retaining wall and a garland drain have been pointed to lessee through violation letter. Retaining wall and garland drain have been constructed |

around waste dump.

| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | | not been constructed. | Deviation of Retaining wall and a garland drain have been pointed to lessee through violation letter. Retaining wall and garland drain have been constructed around waste dump. |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | 01 nos. of settling ponds. | 01 nos. of settling ponds. | Proposed settling ponds constructed by lessee. |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | OB/waste proposed to back-fill in Kendu Pit. | OB/waste generated in 2018-19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north-eastern side of lease area. | The waste generated in 2018- 19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Proposed to back-fill part of exhausted Kendu Pit. | OB/waste generated in 2018-19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north-eastern side of lease area. | The waste generated in 2018- 19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | 2.15 ha. | About 0.30 ha area has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. | The deviation of backfilling has been pointed out through violation letter. |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No top soil proposed. | There is no generation of top soil. | There is no generation of top soil. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | the2.15 ha. | About 0.30 ha area has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. | The deviation of backfilling has been pointed out through violation letter. |

| 5e | General remarks | Backfilling | About 0.30 ha area has | The deviation of |
|----|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | of inspecting | the2.15 ha. | been backfilled in | backfilling has |
| | officers on | area of Kendu | exhausted part of Kendu | been pointed out |
| | backfilling and | Pit. | Pit. | through violation |
| | reclamation etc. | | | letter. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Not specified. | Annual return on PMCP has been submitted. | Annual return on PMCP has been submitted. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Backfilling the2.15 ha. area of Kendu Pit. | About 0.30 ha area has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. | The deviation of backfilling has been pointed out through violation letter. |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | 0.64 ha. | About 0.80 ha area covered under afforestation by 13900 nos of saplings. | Afforestation is carried out as per proposal. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 6000 nos saplings. | In 2018-19, 13900 nos. of saplings have been planted by lessee. | In 2018-19, 13900 nos. of saplings have been planted by lessee. |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | Not specified. | Cumulative nos. of saplings planted in the lease area is about 114858 no. | Cumulative nos. of saplings planted in the lease area is about 114858 no. |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | the2.15 ha. | About 0.30 ha area has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. | The deviation of backfilling has been pointed out through violation letter. |
| бд | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not specified. | Around Rs 7.72 lakh cost incurred on watch and care. | Around Rs 7.72 lakh cost incurred on watch and care. |

6h Backfilling About 0.30 ha area has The deviation of Compliance on reclamation and the 2.15 ha. been backfilled in backfilling has rehabilitation area of Kendu exhausted part of Kendu been pointed out by backfilling Pit. through violation Pit. (i) Voids letter. available for backfilling (Lx ВхD бi About 0.30 ha area has The deviation of Compliance on Backfilling reclamation and the 2.15 ha. been backfilled in backfilling has rehabilitation area of Kendu exhausted part of Kendu been pointed out by backfilling through violation Pit. Pit. (ii) Voids letter. filled by waste / tailings бj Compliance on Backfilling About 0.30 ha area has The deviation of reclamation and the 2.15 ha. been backfilled in backfilling has rehabilitation area of Kendu exhausted part of Kendu been pointed out Pit. by backfilling Pit. through violation (iii)Afforestati letter. on on backfilled area About 0.30 ha area has 6k Compliance on Backfilling The deviation of reclamation and the 2.15 ha. been backfilled in backfilling has rehabilitation area of Kendu exhausted part of Kendu been pointed out by backfilling Pit. Pit. through violation (iv) letter. Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Backfilling About 0.30 ha area has The deviation of Compliance on reclamation and the 2.15 ha. been backfilled in backfilling has area of Kendu exhausted part of Kendu rehabilitation been pointed out by backfilling Pit. Pit. through violation (v)any other letter. specific means. 6m Compliance of Not proposed. Nil. There is no rehabilitation proposal of waste of waste land land. within lease (i)afforestation бn Compliance of Not proposed. Nil. There is no rehabilitation proposal of waste of waste land land. within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation

(ha)

| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Not proposed. | Nil. | There is no proposal of waste land. |
|----|---|---|--|---|
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Quarterly monitoring | Quarterly monitoring of air, water and noise level are being carried out. | Quarterly monitoring of air, water and noise level are being carried out. |
| бq | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | Backfilling the2.15 ha. area of Kendu Pit. | About 0.30 ha area has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. | The deviation of backfilling has been pointed out through violation letter. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Gradewise sorting | Gradewise sorting is being practiced. | Gradewise sorting is being practiced. |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanized | Mechanized method is practiced. | Mechanized method is practiced. |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | 45% to 55% Fe and +55% Fe. | Different grades of minerals sorted out at mines are 45% to 55% Fe and +55% Fe. | Different grades of minerals sorted out at mines are 45% to 55% Fe and +55% Fe. |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not proposed in 2018-19. | Only crushing and screening is being carried out at mines site. | The lessee has proposed 2x250TPH beneficiation plant which will be commissioned from 2019-20. |

7eGeneral remarks
of inspecting
officer on
Mineral
conservation and
beneficiation
issuesGradewise
sorting.Different grades of
minerals sorted out at
mines are 45% to 55% Fe
and +55% Fe.The lessee has
proposed 2x250TPH
beneficiation
plant which will
be commissioned
from 2019-20.

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Not proposed. | Nil. | No top top soil generation is proposed. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not proposed. | Nil. | No top top soil generation is proposed. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | OB/waste proposed to back-fill in Kendu Pit. Mineral reject SG-2, S220 to S350 & E1315 to E1420 and SG-3, E200 to S 270 & E1230 to 1295. No top soil generation proposed. | There are 03 nos. of OB dump and 02 nos. of mineral reject dumps exist in the lease area. | The waste generated during 2018-19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | OB/waste proposed to back-fill in Kendu Pit. | OB/waste generated in 2018-19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit at the north-eastern side of lease area. | The waste generated in 2018- 19 has been backfilled in exhausted part of Kendu Pit. |

8e Phased Backfilling About 0.30 ha area has The deviation of restoration, the 2.15 ha. been backfilled in backfilling has reclamation and area of Kendu exhausted part of Kendu been pointed out rehabilitation Pit. Pit. through violation of lands letter. affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) 8f Baseline In 2018-19, 13900 nos. 6000 nos Cumulative nos. of information on saplings. of saplings have been saplings planted existence of planted by lessee. in the lease area plantation and is about 114858 additional no. plantation done (Rule 41) Survival rate Not specified. As reported survival As reported 8q rate is 80%. survival rate is 80%. 8h Water sprinkling Mobile water Mobile water sprinklers Mobile water on roads to sprinkler. are used to control air sprinklers are control airborne borne dust. used to control dust air borne dust. In 2018-19, 13900 nos. 8i General remarks 6000 nos Aesthetic beauty saplings in of saplings have been of inspecting in and around officer on 2018-19. planted by lessee. mines area is aesthetic beauty Cumulative nos. of maintained by in and around saplings planted in the plantation. mines area lease area is about 114858 no.

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted by lessee. | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted by lessee. | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted by lessee. |

| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | The employment status of mining engineer, geologist & mine manager have been furnished in the annual return submitted for the year 2018- 19. | Mining engineer, geologist & mine managers were employed in the mine. | Mining engineer, geologist & mine managers were employed in the mine. |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | different heads are furnished in | Area covered under different heads are furnished in annual returns. | Area covered under different heads are furnished in annual returns. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 13900 nos. of saplings | 13900 nos. of saplings have been planted by lessee. | 13900 nos. of saplings have been planted by lessee. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | of different grade of iron | Closing stock of different grade of iron ore, 55-58%Fe, 58-60%Fe, 60-62%Fe-, 62-65%Fe are maintained. | Closing stock of different grade of iron ore, 55- 58%Fe, 58-60%Fe, 60-62%Fe-, 62- 65%Fe are maintained. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | Fixed asset as furnished in AR is Rs 167101230/- | Fixed asset as furnished in AR is Rs 167101230/- |

| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | site are Mobile Crushing & Screening-13, DTH Drill Machine-1, Hydraulic Excavator 2.5/1.1/2.1 cu.m-15, Dumper 35T-25, Loader 1.7 | Machineries used at mine site are Mobile Crushing & Screening-13, DTH Drill Machine-1, Hydraulic Excavator 2.5/1.1/2.1 cu.m-15, Dumper 35T-25, Loader 1.7 cu.m -14, Rock breaker-6, Dozer-02. | Machineries used at mine site are Mobile Crushing & Screening-13, DTH Drill Machine-1, Hydraulic Excavator 2.5/1.1/2.1 cu.m- 15, Dumper 35T-25, Loader 1.7 cu.m - 14, Rock breaker- 6, Dozer-02. |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| | | - | | |
| | | cu.m -14, Rock breaker-6, | | |
| | | Dozer-02. | | |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--|
| Viola | tion observed | Show c | ouse position | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |

Date :

(DAYANAND UPADHYAY)

Indian Bureau of Mines